

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/033,695

Applicant(s)

MACPHERSON ET AL.

Examiner

Peter A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Barry F. McGurl.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 9-11-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 3 line 23 through page 4 line 15 of the instant specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)